

clean water and clean air depend on

fair judges

Judicial Nominations: Our Environment's at Stake

Too many current nominees for lifetime judgeships are extreme ideologues who have threatened basic environmental protections by aggressively and unjustifiably trying to rewrite the Constitution. For example:

- Carolyn Kuhl (9th Circuit nominee)— Urged the Supreme Court to overturn well-settled precedents in order to bar environmental and other groups from going to court to protect their members.
- William Pryor (11th Circuit nominee)— Only state attorney general who argued to the Supreme Court that vital protections for clean water and endangered species are unconstitutional.
- Janice Rogers Brown (D.C. Circuit nominee)— Wrote lone California Supreme Court dissents that would have imposed extreme constitutional burdens on taxpayers by making them pay corporations to obey basic land use, environmental, and other laws.

The Senate should reject efforts to pack our federal courts with extreme, anti-environmental ideologues. For more information visit

www.JudgingTheEnvironment.org



EARTHJUSTICE

Ensuring that federal judges are impartial should not be a partisan issue.